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المستخلص:
الهرف: تقييم عو امل خطر مرض الشر ايين التاجية في مدينة الناصرية.
 اعمار هم •r عاما وأكثر،وشخصوا حديثا بإصابتهم بمرض الشرايين التاجية بواسطة تصوير الأوعية التاجية في وحدة قسطرة القلب

بمركز الناصرية للقلب.

 وارتفاع البروتين الدهني منخفض الكثّفة (؟ (\%).كل هذه العو امل ترتبط ارتباطا وثيقا بالمرض .أما عوامل الخطورة الأخرى الشائعة و التي لا يتحكم بها هي العمر فوق سن الخمسين، الجنس والتاريخ العائلي لمرضى الشر الـي الين الين التاجية. التوصيات: استنادا إلى النتائج الدذكورة أعلاه فإن هذه الدراسة توصي إلى تنظيم بر امـج تنقيفية تستهدف المرضىى وأسر هم حول مرض الشنر ايين التاجية (عو امل الخطوررة وشدَّةَ المرضِ،كيف يُمْكِن للمريض أُنْ يُسيطرَ على نفسه لتَفَادي المضاعفات)،ويمكن تحقيق هذا من خلال مؤسسة حكومية أو منظمة غير حكومية.


#### Abstract

: Objective: to assess the risk factors of coronary artery disease patients. Methodology: A non-probability (purposive) sample of (100) patients. The study population consisted of a sample of adults from both genders whose ages were 30 years and more, and was newly diagnosed as having CAD by coronary angiography in the cardiac catheterization unit of An Nasiriyah heart center. Results: The result of the study showed that the most common modifiable risk factors were low HDL-C levels (58\%), smoking (53\%), hypertension (46\%), diabetes mellitus (34\%), obesity (30\%), high triglycerides (19\%), hypercholesterolemia (17\%), and high LDLC (14\%). All these factors were positively and significantly associated with the development of CAD. Whereas, the most common non-modifiable risk factors were age 50 years and more, sex, family history of CAD Recommendation: Based on the above results, this study recommends conducting educational programs targeting patients and their families about coronary artery disease (severity and risk factors of disease, who the patient can control himself to avoid complications), this can be achieved through government organization or non- government organization.
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## Introduction:

The heart disease has become a major killer of mankind. With the vast changes in the lifestyle of people, cardiac problems are increasing day by day in our country, also the cardiovascular disease are becoming a leading cause of morbidity and mortality among coronary vascular disease in the coronary artery disease has become the most important cause of pre matured death and disability in the population in some countries on death among three men around the age of 55 years is due to CAD ${ }^{(1)}$.

Coronary heart disease is the single leading cause of death in the United States. Cardiovascular diseases are responsible for the lives of 41.4 \% of more than 2.3 million Americans who die each year. Nearly 59 million Americans have some form of cardiovascular disease, ranging from congenital heart defects to high blood pressure and atherosclerosis ${ }^{(2)}$.

Cardiovascular disease is the common cause of death. Some of the risk factors for heart disease include smoking, high blood pressure, high cholesterol, diabetes and obesity. Additional Heart Disease Risk Factors include lack of exercise, an unhealthy diet and stress. The major form of cardiovascular disease is coronary artery disease, manifested by myocardial disease, angina pectoris and sudden cardiac death ${ }^{(3)}$.

Identifying risk factors is the first step in health promotion, wellness education and illness prevention activities. Risk factor modification, health promotion or illness prevention activities or any programmers that attempts to change unhealthy lifestyle behaviors can be considered
a wellness strategy ${ }^{(4)}$. The objective of the study was to assess the risk factors of coronary artery disease in Al Nasiriyah city

## Methodology:

A descriptive study was carried out. Data collection started from 24th of March to the 6th of May 2013.The study was conducted in the cardiac care unit and medical cardiac wards after the patient's diagnosis CAD by cardiac catheterization in the AL Hussein teaching hospital and An Nasiriyah heart center, in al Nasiriyah city, Thi-qar, Iraq. Questionnaire:

The study instrument consisted of two major parts constructed for the purpose of the study. First, it is concerned with sociodemographic characteristic, which includes 8 variables (age, gender, and occupational status, level of education, marital status, monthly income, and residential area). The second part consists of the questionnaire related to risk factors, which includes four sections (diagnosis, past history, smoking and alcohol consumption, BMI measures and biochemical measures.

Reliability of the questionnaire form was determined through a pilot study while the content validity of the questionnaire was determined through a panel of experts. And then the Data were collected through the use of the observational tool (questionnaire), which was analyzed through the use of three statistical approaches. They are descriptive statistical analysis (frequencies, percentage, S.D, Range of scores, mean of scores and relative sufficiency; inferential statistical analysis (correlation coefficient and chi- square test); and analysis of variance (ANOVA).

## Results:

Table 1. Distribution of Patients according to Socio -Demographic Characteristic ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ )

| Variable |  | F | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age | $<45$ | 7 | 7.0 |
|  | $45--49$ | 15 | 15.0 |
|  | $50--54$ | 13 | 13.0 |
|  | $55--59$ | 17 | 17.0 |
|  | $60--64$ | 22 | 22.0 |
|  | Sex | Ma5years | 26 |
|  | 78 | 78.0 |  |

Table 1. Continues

|  | Female | 22 | 22.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of Education | Illiterate | 23 | 23.0 |
|  | Read \& write | 21 | 21.0 |
|  | Primary school graduate | 27 | 27.0 |
|  | Secondary school graduate | 7 | 7.0 |
|  | Intermediate school graduate | 14 | 14.0 |
|  | High Institute graduate | 7 | 7.0 |
|  | University \& Higher education | 1 | 1.0 |
| Marital status | Single | 2 | 2.0 |
|  | Married | 84 | 84.0 |
|  | Divorced | 1 | 1.0 |
|  | Widowed | 13 | 13.0 |
| Occupation | Unemployed | 30 | 30.0 |
|  | Government employee | 23 | 23.0 |
|  | Self-employee | 10 | 10.0 |
|  | Wife house | 18 | 18.0 |
|  | Retired | 19 | 19.0 |
|  | No | 100 | 100.0 |
| Monthly income | Sufficient | 33 | 33.0 |
|  | Barely sufficient | 27 | 27.0 |
|  | Insufficient | 40 | 40.0 |
| Residential area | Rural | 73 | 73.0 |
|  | Urban | 27 | 27.0 |
| Smoking | Currently smoke | 28 | 28.0 |
|  | Previous smoking | 25 | 25.0 |
|  | Not | 47 | 47.0 |
| Alcohol drinking | Drink Currently | 0 | 0 |
|  | Previous drinking | 0 | 0 |
|  | No | 100 | 100.0 |

$\mathrm{n}=$ number of samples, $\mathrm{F}=$ frequency, \%=percentage, >=less than, <= greater than
This table shows that the high percentage (26\%) of patients ages group (65 years old and more). Most of them ( $78.0 \%$ ) were male. The education level represents ( $71 \%$ ) of CAD were low educated $(27.0 \%, 23 \%$, and $21 \%$ ) of patients were from primary school graduate, illiterate, and read and write respectively. Most of them ( $84.0 \%$ ) were married, and ( $30.0 \%$ ) were unemployed. The table also shows that a high percentage (40.0\%) of sample their monthly income were insufficient, (73\%) of patients were living in Rural, and (27.0\%) live in Urban. Regarding to smoking and drinking, (53.0\%) of CAD patients were smoker, (28.0\%) smoke currently, (25.0\%) previous smoking, and (47.0\%) not smoke cigarette, while (100\%) of patient never drink alcohol.

Table 2. Distribution of CAD Patients according to their Clinical Characteristics ( $n=100$ )

| Gariables |  | Froups | $\%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Chronic diseases | Hypertension | 24 | 24.0 |
|  | Diabetes Mellitus | 12 | 12.0 |
|  | High BP \& DM | 22 | 22.0 |
|  | No Past Medical History | 42 | 42.0 |
| Family history of disease | Hypertension | 5 | 5.0 |
|  | Diabetes | 6 | 6.0 |
|  | Heart diseases | 15 | 15.0 |
|  | No | 71 | 71.0 |
|  | High BP \& DM | 3 | 3.0 |
| Age of disease onset (years) | $<45$ | 9 | 9.0 |
|  | $45--49$ | 19 | 19.0 |
|  | $50--54$ | 20 | 20.0 |
|  | $55--59$ | 14 | 14.0 |

## Table 2. Continues

|  | 60--64 | 22 | 22.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | =>65years | 16 | 16.0 |
| Frequency of occurrence of disease | Once times | 30 | 30.0 |
|  | Twice times | 17 | 17.0 |
|  | Three times | 23 | 23.0 |
|  | Four times | 4 | 4.0 |
|  | Five times \& more | 26 | 26.0 |

$\mathrm{n}=$ number of samples, $\mathrm{F}=$ frequency, \%=percentage, >=less than, <= greater than
The result in table (2) shows that, (46\%) of the study sample have hypertension, (28\%) have hypertension alone and (22\%) of the sample had hypertension and diabetes mellitus to gather, while (34\%) of study sample have diabetes mellitus, (12\%) alone and $22 \%$ with hypertension. Regarding to family history of disease, found $15 \%$ of sample family had heart disease. According to age of disease and the frequency of repeated occurrence of disease the data show that higher percentage (22.0\%) of patients the disease occurred at age (60-64) years old, and (30\%) of patients the symptoms repeated once a time
Table 3.Distribution of Patients according to BMI, Blood pressure and blood sugar measures

| Variables |  | FMI>=30 | $\%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Body Mass Index (Kg/m2) | BM | $\%$ |  |
|  | BMI<30 | 30 | 30.0 |
|  | Normal (<25) | 70 | 70.0 |
|  | Overweight (25-29.9) | 36 | 36.0 |
|  | Obese (30-34.9) | 34 | 34.0 |
|  | Obese II (=>35) | 19 | 19.0 |
| Blood Pressure | SBP=<140 \& DBP<90 | 11 | 11.0 |
|  | SBP>140 \& DBP=>90 | 54 | 54.0 |
| Blood sugar | Fasting blood glucose level <126mg/dI | 46 | 46.0 |
|  | Fasting blood glucose level $\geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | 66 | 66.0 |

$\mathrm{n}=$ number of samples, $\mathrm{F}=$ frequency, \%=percentage, $\mathrm{BMI}=$ body mass index, $\mathrm{SBP}=$ systolic blood pressure, Diastolic blood pressure, <= less than, > = greater than, $\mathrm{Kg}=$ kilogram, $\mathbf{m 2}=$ meter square

Table (3) shows that the study sample was distribute into the following percentages according to body mass index and blood Pressure. The data analysis revealed that the most (70\%) of CAD patients their body mass index was equal and less than ( $30 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ ), and the rest were more than (30 $\mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ ). In measuring the blood pressure for the CAD patients the data shows that ( $54.0 \%$ ) their systolic blood pressure were (equal \& less than $140 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{Hg}$ ) \& diastolic blood pressure less than 90. Regarding to blood sugar $34 \%$ of study population their blood sugar more than $126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, while $66 \%$ of study sample were less than $126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$.
Table 4. Distribution of Patients according to Chemistry Blood Level

| Variables |  | F | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cholesterol blood level | Normal < 200 mg /dl | 76 | 76.0 |
|  | Border line of high risk 200-239.9 mg/dl | 7 | 7.0 |
|  | High risk >=240 mg /dl | 17 | 17.0 |
| Triglyceride blood level | Ideal < $150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | 55 | 55.0 |
|  | Border line of high risk $\mathbf{1 5 0 - 1 9 9 . 9 ~ m g / d l ~}$ | 26 | 26.0 |
|  | High risk >= $\mathbf{2 0 0 ~ m g ~ / ~ d l ~}$ | 19 | 19.0 |
| High Density Lipoprotein (HDL) blood level | High >=40 mg/ dl | 42 | 42.0 |
|  | Low < $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | 58 | 58.0 |
| Low Density Lipoprotein (LDL) blood level | Normal (<130 mg /dl) | 84 | 84.0 |
|  | Risky ( $130-159.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) | 2 | 2.0 |
|  | High risk >= 160mg/dl | 14 | 14.0 |

n= number of samples, F= frequency, \%=percentage, >=, less than, <= greater than, HDL= High Density Lipoprotein, LDL= Low Density Lipoprotein, $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}=$ Milligrams per Deciliter

Table (4) shows, that the study sample distributed into the following percentages according to cholesterol blood level, triglyceride blood level, high density lipoprotein and low density lipoprotein. According to cholesterol blood level, (17.0\%) of patients, were High risk (>=240 mg/dl). Regarding to triglyceride blood level, (19.0\%) of CAD patients were high risk (>= $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ). Related to high density lipoprotein the table shows that the high percent (58.0\%) of sample their (.HDL) were low (less than $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ). According to the low density lipoprotein (LDL) higher percentage (84\%) of CAD patients were within the normal level ( $<130 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ), while (14.0\%) of sample were high (>=160mg/dl).
Table 5. Comparison of Demographic Characteristic of coronary artery disease (CAD) Patients
according to Disease Diagnosis (Angina and myocardial infarction (MI))

| Variable | Groups | Angina |  | MI |  | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | \% | F | \% |  |
| Age | <45 | 1 | 2.2 | 6 | 10.9 | $\begin{gathered} 0.260 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 45--49 | 7 | 15.6 | 8 | 14.5 |  |
|  | 50--54 | 5 | 11.1 | 8 | 14.5 |  |
|  | 55--59 | 11 | 24.4 | 6 | 10.9 |  |
|  | 60-64 | 8 | 17.8 | 14 | 25.5 |  |
|  | =>65years | 13 | 28.9 | 13 | 23.6 |  |
| Sex | Male | 32 | 71.1 | 46 | 83.6 | $\begin{gathered} 0.133 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Female | 13 | 28.9 | 9 | 16.4 |  |
| Level of Education | Illiterate | 12 | 26.7 | 11 | 20.0 | $\begin{gathered} 0.385 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Read \& write | 8 | 17.8 | 13 | 23.6 |  |
|  | Primary school | 8 | 17.8 | 19 | 34.5 |  |
|  | Secondary school | 4 | 8.9 | 3 | 5.5 |  |
|  | Intermediate school | 8 | 17.8 | 6 | 10.9 |  |
|  | High Institute | 4 | 8.9 | 3 | 5.5 |  |
|  | University \&Higher | 1 | 2.2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Marital status | Single | 1 | 2.2 | 1 | 1.8 | $\begin{gathered} 0.734 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Married | 37 | 82.2 | 47 | 85.5 |  |
|  | Divorced | 1 | 2.2 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | Widowed | 6 | 13.3 | 7 | 12.7 |  |
| Occupation | Unemployed | 13 | 28.9 | 17 | 30.9 | $\begin{gathered} 0.583 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Government employee | 9 | 20.0 | 14 | 25.5 |  |
|  | Self employee | 5 | 11.1 | 5 | 9.1 |  |
|  | Wife house | 11 | 24.4 | 7 | 12.7 |  |
|  | Retired | 7 | 15.6 | 12 | 21.8 |  |
| Monthly income | Sufficient | 19 | 42.2 | 14 | 25.5 | $\begin{gathered} 0.200 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Barely sufficient | 11 | 24.4 | 16 | 29.1 |  |
|  | Insufficient | 15 | 33.3 | 25 | 45.5 |  |
| Residential area | Rural | 29 | 64.4 | 44 | 80.0 | $\begin{gathered} 0.081 \\ \text { NS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Urban | 16 | 35.6 | 11 | 20.0 |  |
| Smoking | Smoke Currently | 8 | 17.8 | 20 | 36.4 | $\begin{gathered} 0.110 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Previous smoke | 12 | 26.7 | 13 | 23.6 |  |
|  | Not | 25 | 55.6 | 22 | 40.0 |  |
| Alcoholic drinking | Drink Currently | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O.C |
|  | Previous Drinker | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | No | 45 | 100 | 55 | 100 |  |

 comparative

Table (5) shows that no statistically significant difference between angina and MI patient at all demographic characteristics.

Table 6. Comparison of Clinical Characteristics of Patients according to Disease Diagnosis (Angina and myocardial infarction (MI))

| Items | Variables | Angina |  | MI |  | $P$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | \% | F | \% |  |
| Hypertension | Yes | 28 | 62.2 | 18 | 32.7 | $\begin{gathered} 0.003 \\ \mathrm{~S} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | No | 17 | 37.8 | 37 | 67.3 |  |
| Diabetes mellitus | Yes | 15 | 33.3 | 19 | 34.5 | $\begin{gathered} 0.899 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | No | 30 | 66.7 | 36 | 65.5 |  |
| Chronic disease | High blood pressure | 18 | 40.0 | 6 | 10.9 | $\begin{gathered} 0.004 \\ s \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Diabetic Mellitus | 5 | 11.1 | 7 | 12.7 |  |
|  | No past medical history | 12 | 26.7 | 30 | 54.5 |  |
|  | High BP and Diabetic | 10 | 22.2 | 12 | 21.8 |  |
| Family history of Disease | High blood pressure | 4 | 8.9 | 1 | 1.8 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.574 \\ \text { NS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

$\mathrm{F}=$ frequency, \%=percentage,
Table (6) shows that there is a statistical significant difference between angina and MI patient related risk factors such as (hypertension) while no statistical significant difference between angina and MI patient related to diabetes mellitus. Regarding to family history of disease there is no statistical significant difference between angina and myocardial infarction.
Table 7. Comparison of BMI Measures, blood pressure \& Chemistry Blood Level of patients according to disease diagnosis (Angina and myocardial infarction (MI))

| Items Variables |  | Angina |  | MI |  | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | \% | F | \% |  |
| Body Mass Index ( $\mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ ) | BMI> $=30$ | 16 | 35.6 | 14 | 25.5 | $\begin{gathered} 0.273 \\ \text { NS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | BMI<30 | 29 | 64.4 | 41 | 74.5 |  |
| Body Mass Index (Kg/m2) | Normal (<25) | 15 | 33.3 | 21 | 38.2 | $\begin{gathered} 0.583 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Overweight (25-29.9) | 14 | 31.1 | 20 | 36.4 |  |
|  | Obese (30-34.9) | 9 | 20.0 | 10 | 18.2 |  |
|  | Obese II (=>35) | 7 | 15.6 | 4 | 7.3 |  |
| Cholesterol blood level | Normal < 200 mg /dl | 31 | 68.9 | 45 | 81.8 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.199 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Borderline of risk 200-239. | 3 | 6.7 | 4 | 7.3 |  |
|  | High risk >=240 mg /dl | 11 | 24.4 | 6 | 10.9 |  |
| Triglyceride blood level | Ideal < 150 mg /dl | 24 | 53.3 | 31 | 56.4 | $\begin{gathered} 0.503 \\ \text { NS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Borderline risk 150-199.9 | 14 | 31.1 | 12 | 21.8 |  |
|  | High risk >= $\mathbf{2 0 0 ~ m g ~ / ~ d l ~}$ | 7 | 15.6 | 12 | 21.8 |  |
| High Density Lipoprotein (HDL) | High > $=40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | 19 | 42.2 | 23 | 41.8 | $\begin{gathered} 0.968 \\ \text { NS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Low $<40 \mathrm{mg}$ /dl | 26 | 57.8 | 32 | 58.2 |  |
| Low Density Lipoprotein (LDL) | Normal < $\mathbf{1 3 0} \mathbf{~ m g / d l}$ | 35 | 77.8 | 49 | 89.1 | $\begin{gathered} 0.286 \\ \text { NS } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Risky (130-159.9) mg/dl | 1 | 2.2 | 1 | 1.8 |  |
|  | High risk >= $16 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | 9 | 20.0 | 5 | 9.1 |  |
| Blood Pressure | SBP=<140 \& DBP $<90$ | 20 | 44.4 | 34 | 61.8 | $\begin{gathered} 0.083 \\ S \end{gathered}$ |
|  | SBP>140 \& DBP $=>90$ | 25 | 55.6 | 21 | 38.2 |  |

$M \mathrm{MI}=$ myocardial infarction, $\mathrm{MBI}=$ body mass index $S=$ significance ( $p<0.05$ ); H.S=Highly significant ( $p<0.01$ ); N.S= Non significant ( $p>$ 0.05); O.C = out of comparative, <= less than, > = greater than

Table (7) shows that there is no statistical significant difference between angina and MI patient related most of risk factors theses are (Body mass index, cholesterol blood level, low density lipoprotein, triglyceride blood level, high density lipoprotein) while a statistical significant difference between angina and MI related blood pressure.

## Discussion:

Through the data analysis of demographic variables, the present study reported that the CAD patients age is the range between (less than 45 years to 65 years and more) and the high percentage of their age is ( 65 years and more) which accounts for 26 ( $26 \%$ ). Most of the sample are male 78 (78\%), 27 (27\%) graduate from primary school. Most of the patients, 84 (84\%) were married. And highest percentage 30 (30\%) of the patients were an employee.

Regarding the family income the result indicated that (40.0\%) of CAD patient their income is insufficient and majority (73 (73.0\%) of them were living in Rural. (Table 1) These results agree with evidence is available in the study that indicated the highest percentages of the sample (52.3\%) were noticed among the age group 60 years and more, and the highest percentage ( $76.2 \%$ ) of patients are male, and ( $23.8 \%$ ) were female .The highest percentage (52.3\%) was low educational level,(58.5\%)were unemployed, (44.6\%) low monthly income ${ }^{(5)}$.

The result of the present study has revealed that the prevalence of the smoking is (53\%). Even with the CAD attack the ( $28.0 \%$ ) of patient were current smokers, and $25 \%$ were previous smokers.

This result supported evidence is available in the study that showed the prevalence of CAD risk factors were, smoking 26.8\%, essential hypertension 4.3\%, diabetes mellitus 1.3\%, dyslipidmeia $55.1 \%$, obesity $13.5 \%$ and sedentary lifestyle $64 \%{ }^{(6)}$.

This results also supported evidence is available in the studies that showed a high prevalent six risk factors were, smoking 45\%, diabetes mellitus 40\%, hypertension (38\%), hyper triglyceridemia 54\%, hypercholesterolemia $19 \%$, and HDL $<40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl} 60 \%$., history of current smoking $57 \%$, hypertension $35 \%$, history
of DM 21\%, family history of CAD 23\%, and hypercholesterolemia (48\%) (7,8).Regarding alcohol consumption the present study revealed no patient consume alcohol, even previous or currently this result, may be due to our value, that the alcohol consumption is not continence with Muslim religion so the patient feel embarrassed to say or write.

According the present study the result shows that (46.0\%) of patients have hypertension. This result supported evidence is available in the study that stated the prevalence of hypertension among CAD patients is $(38 \%)^{(8)}$.

In the present study, the overall prevalence of history of DM was (34. \%) of CAD patients. This result agrees with evidence is available in the studies that mentioned the diabetes mellitus was a high prevalent risk factor and about (more than one third) among CAD patients ${ }^{(8,9,10)}$.

The result of the present study showed that the prevalence rate of a relative history of CAD or sudden death was relatively not high in the CAD patients with an overall rate of $15.0 \%$. This result isn't consistent with studies, conducting in Turkey and Egypt where they indicated that the prevalence rates were 53\% and $23 \%$, respectively ${ }^{(7,11)}$.

Regarding to the BMI (body mass index) the present study revealed about a third of CAD patients, their BMI was equal and more than ( $30 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ ). A similar study investigated the epidemiology of hypertension and other CVD risk factors showed an overall prevalence of obesity were ( $27.7 \%$ ) ${ }^{(12)}$. This difference in percentage between Iraqi people and other country may refer to high stress from siege and strong army operations through the war for many years.

According to biochemistry (Lipid profile) the study shows that (17\%) of the CAD patients
had total cholesterol levels of $240 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or more. Significant proportions, (7.0\% of the patients) were on the borderline high-risk levels (200-239 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$. The prevalence rate of this study is consistent with the study that stated a prevalence rate of hypercholesterolemia were (19\%) among CAD patients ${ }^{(8)}$.

Regarding to the triglyceride level the result of the present study shows that (17.0\%) of CAD patients had a prevalence were $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ and more. This result supported evidence is available in the study that indicated elevated fasting triglyceride level is a risk factor for CAD and CVD, and it works independently from other risk factors ${ }^{(13,14)}$.

Related to low density lipoprotein the result in (table 4) shows that (14\%) of CAD patients, their LDL level were High risk (equal or more than $>=160 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ). This result isn't consistent evidence is available in the study that found the prevalence of CAD risk factors were, high LDL 64.4\% and low HDL 70.4\%, smoking $37 \%$, lack of physical activity $93 \%$, hypertension 74\%, diabetes mellitus 60\%, family history 44\% ${ }^{(15)}$.

Another study found that the prevalence of CAD risk factors were diabetes mellitus 30\%, hypertension $90.9 \%$, smoking $42 \%$, history of CAD 13.3\%, hypercholesterolemia 41.8\%, hyper triglyceridemia 49\%, high LDL 63.8\%, low HDL $74.4 \%{ }^{(10)}$.

Related to high density lipoprotein the result shows that more than half of CAD patients, their HDL level was Low level (less than $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$. The elevated of HDL-C blood level decreases the risk of CAD events and vice versa $(8,16)$. This result consistent evidence is available in the study that found the prevalence of CAD risk factors were, low HDL 70.4\%, smoking $37 \%$, lack of physical activity $93 \%$, hypertension 74\%, diabetes mellitus 60\%, family history $44 \%$. Another study found that the prevalence of CAD risk factors were low HDL 74.4\% among CAD patients who admitted in the CCU ward in Iranian general hospital ${ }^{(9,15)}$.

## Recommendation:

1. Conducting educational programs targeting patients and their families, this can be achieved through government organization or non- government organization (NGOs).
2. As diet is one of the major risk factors of CAD, it is essential to find the most effective diet protocol and supply it to CAD patients in order to lose their weight, this can be done cooperating with qualified dietitian.
3. It is important for patients to follow physical activities hourly every day; this must be followed up by health promoters, public health specialist, physicians and cardiologists.
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