# **Psychological Problems of Patients with Colorectal Cancer**

# Ghalib A. Khalaf, M.Sc\* Narmen B. Tawfiq, PhD\*\*

#### \*Academic Nurse, Ninawa Health Office

#### \*\*Professor, Adult Nursing Department, College of Nursing, University of Baghdad

#### المستخلص:

الهدف: تقييم المشاكل النفسية لمرضى سرطان القولون والمستقيم ومعرفة العلاقة بين بعض المتغيرات الديمو غرافية مثل (الجنس، العمر، الحالة الزوجية، المستوى التعليمي) والمشاكل النفسية لهؤلاء المرضى.

المنهجية: دراسة وصفية استعمل فيها أسلوب التقييم تم استخدامه في الدراسة الحالية للفترة من 1 تموز ٢٠١١ إلى 25 كانون الاول ٢٠١١ لدراسة المشاكل النفسية لمرضى سرطان القولون والمستقيم. عينة عمديه قصديه (غير احتمالية) اختيرت في الدراسة وقد تكونت من(٢٠) مريض في مستشفى الاورام والطب الذري في الموصل. تم جمع بيانات الدراسة عن طريق مقابلة المرضى الراقدين والمراجعين في المستشفى المذكور. تكونت استمارة التقويم من جز أين شملت المعلومات الديمو غرافية والسريرية المرضى والجزء الأخر تعلق بتقييم المشاكل النفسية لمرضى والمراجعين في المستشفى المذكور. القولون والمستقيم ، تم إجراء المصداقية والثبات لأداة التورية المرضى والجزء الأخر تعلق بتقييم المشاكل النفسية لمرضى سرطان القولون والمستقيم ، تم إجراء المصداقية والثبات لأداة التقييم من خلال الدراسة الاستطلاعية و عرض الأداة على مجموعة من الخبراء. تم تحليل

ا**لنتائج:** وبشكل عام كشفت النتائج ان نوعيّة الحياة لمرضى سرطان القولون والمستقيم الذينَّ يُعانون من المشاكل النفسية كانت مقَبُّولة، و هناك علاقة معنوية بين المشاكل النفسية لهؤلاء المرضى وواحد من الخصائص الديمو غرافية وهو مكان الاقامة للمرضى.

ا**لتوصيات:** أوصت الدراسة بإعداد برنامج تثقيفي للمرضى المصابين بسرطان القولون والمستقيم وزيادة الوعي والتثقيف بين عوائل المرضى لتوفير حياة افضل لمرضاهم.

### Abstract:

**Objective:** Assessment the psychological problems in patients with colorectal cancer, and to find out the relationship between socio-demographic characteristics such as (age, sex, marital status, educational level, and occupation) and psychological problems for those patients.

**Methodology:** A descriptive design is employed through the present study from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2011 to 25<sup>th</sup> December 2011 in order to study the quality of life in colorectal cancer patients with psychological problems.

A purposive (non probability) sample is selected for the study which includes (60) patients diagnosed with colorectal cancer were treated in Mosul Oncology and Nuclear Medicine hospital or the patients who visited the outpatient clinic in the same hospital for medical follow–up and further treatment. Data were gathered through the patients` interviewed. Assessment questioner consist of two parts contains demographic characteristic, the other part concerning about assessment the psychological problems for patients with colorectal cancer. Reliability and validity of this tool is determined through application of a pilot study and panel of experts. Data were analyzed through the application of descriptive statistical (frequencies and percentages), inferential statistical (mean of score), and logistic regression.

**Results:** The Overall results revealed that the quality of life in colorectal cancer patients with psychological problems was acceptable, and there is significant correlation between the psychological problems related for these patients and one demographic characteristic that was region (residency) of patients.

**Recommendations:** The study recommended to preparation of an education program for patients with colorectal cancer and to raise awareness and education among families of patients to provide a better psychological life for their patients.

Key wards: quality of Life, psychological problems, colorectal Cancer

## Introduction:

olorectal cancer (CRC) is a major health concern, worldwide more than one million individuals develop colorectal cancer (CRC) each year, and the diseasespecific mortality rate is nearly 33% in the developed world<sup>(1)</sup>.

In Europe cancer of the gastrointestinal tract is the most common cancer: more than half of gastrointestinal cancer cases arise from the colon and around 250,000 new colon cases are diagnosed every year, accounting for around 9% of all the malignancies<sup>(2)</sup>.

Colorectal cancer is the third most commonly diagnosed cancer in males after lung and prostate cancer and it is the second in females after lung and breast cancer <sup>(3)</sup>.

Colorectal cancer is a significant health problem in Iraq. It is a common malignancy that accounts for a large portion of all cancer-related morbidity and mortality. It is the seventh most common cancer in Iraq, that accounts 4.7% of all malignant tumors and show rise in both sexes<sup>(4)</sup>, also its the forth most common cancer in Mosul and it's the fifth cancer deaths in Mosul<sup>(5)</sup>.

Diagnosis of colorectal cancer and its treatments may have a devastating impact on a person's quality of life. Such problems include physical problems, psychological problems, and psychosocial problems<sup>(6)</sup>.

Quality of life (QOL) has become an important outcome measure for cancer patients. The term quality of life refers to a multidimensional concept, which includes, at least, the dimensions of physical, emotional, and social functioning. In addition, assessment of QOL in patients with cancer may improve our understanding of how cancer and therapy influence the patients' lives and how to adapt treatment strategies<sup>(7)</sup>.

The quality of life of a colorectal cancer patient and his family is deeply modified when faced with this diagnosis as a result of physical and psychological changes induced by it <sup>(8)</sup>.

Colorectal cancer is one of the most common invasive cancers. The diagnosis and treatment leads to considerable physical, psychological and psychosocial morbidity <sup>(9)</sup>. Some patients may also experience unwanted psychological or social effects of adjuvant chemotherapy:

- Prolongation of the 'patient' status

- Feelings of anxiety or depression

- Loss of earnings

- Strains on family relationships <sup>(10)</sup>.

The patient anticipating surgery for colorectal cancer has many concerns, needs, and fears. The patient undergoing a colostomy may find the anticipated changes in body image and lifestyle profoundly disturbing. Because the stoma is located on the abdomen, the patient may think that everyone will be aware of the ostomy <sup>(11)</sup>.

WHO group in 1996 constructed measure instrument for assessing quality of life and facts in main six domains: physical, psychological, environment, level of independence, social relationship, and spiritual <sup>(12)</sup>.

## Methodology:

A descriptive cross-sectional design is employed through the present study from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2011 to 25<sup>th</sup> December 2011 in order to study the quality of life in colorectal cancer patients with psychological problems.

A purposive (non probability) sample is selected for the study which includes (60) patients diagnosed with colorectal cancer were treated in Mosul Oncology and Nuclear Medicine hospital or the patients who visited the outpatient clinic in the same hospital for medical follow–up and further treatment. Data were gathered through the patients` interviewed. Each interview takes approximately (20-25) minute for each patient.

Assessment questionnaire consists of two parts: Part one contains demographic characteristic. Part two concerning of the quality of life in colorectal cancer patients with psychological problems: It is consisted of (23) items which are measured on 3 levels of likert rating scale, always (3), sometimes (2), never (1).

Reliability and validity of this tool is determined through application of a pilot study and panel of (13) experts. Data were analyzed through the application of descriptive statistical (frequencies and percentages) and inferential statistical (mean of score), and logistic regression.

#### **Results:**

**Table 1.** Frequencies and percentages of Socio-demographical characteristics (n=60)

| Socio-Demographical Characteristics | Groups                  | F  | %    |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|----|------|
| Gender                              | Men                     | 33 | 55.0 |
| Gender                              | Women                   | 27 | 45.0 |
|                                     | 20 - 29                 | 4  | 6.7  |
|                                     | 30 - 39                 | 9  | 15.0 |
|                                     | 40 - 49                 | 15 | 25.0 |
| Age Groups                          | 50 - 59                 | 15 | 25.0 |
|                                     | 60 - 69                 | 9  | 15.0 |
|                                     | 70 - 79                 | 8  | 13.3 |
|                                     | Single                  | 3  | 5.0  |
| Marital Status                      | Married                 | 51 | 85.0 |
|                                     | Widow                   | 6  | 10.0 |
|                                     | Illiterate              | 14 | 23.3 |
|                                     | Read and write          | 8  | 13.3 |
| Education Levels                    | Primary                 | 24 | 40.0 |
| Education Levels                    | Intermediate            | 6  | 10.0 |
|                                     | Secondary               | 4  | 6.7  |
|                                     | Institution and College | 4  | 6.7  |
|                                     | Employed                | 6  | 10.0 |
|                                     | Retirement              | 25 | 41.7 |
| Occupational Before                 | Private works           | 10 | 16.7 |
|                                     | House wife              | 18 | 30.0 |
|                                     | Unemployed              | 1  | 1.7  |
| Occupational Aftar                  | Yes                     | 20 | 33.3 |
| Occupational After                  | No                      | 40 | 66.7 |
| Pagion                              | Town                    | 39 | 65.0 |
| Region                              | Rural                   | 21 | 35.0 |
|                                     | Sufficient 12           |    | 20.0 |
| Monthly Income                      | Barely Sufficient       | 35 | 58.3 |
|                                     | Insufficient            | 13 | 21.7 |

F. = frequency; %= percent.

Table (1) Described the characteristics of the sample, (55%) of sample were men, most were between 40-60 years age (50% of them), Regarding to the patients marital status, the majority of the sample are married and they accounted for (85%) of the whole sample, (15%) were either single or widows; almost quarter (23.3%) were illiterate. And overall educational level tends to be low. With regard to occupational status of sample, most of them were retired (41%) and (30%) of them were house wives. (33.3%) were employed before their surgically treatment and even a higher portion did not return to their occupation (66.7%) after their treatment. (35%) of the sample resident in the rural area in Mosul. And only (20%) reported that the monthly income was sufficient.

| Table 2. Descriptive | statistics of | QOL | psychological | domain | items | for | patient | after | colorectal |
|----------------------|---------------|-----|---------------|--------|-------|-----|---------|-------|------------|
| cancer (n=60)        |               |     |               |        |       |     |         |       |            |

| Sub                    | Psychological Problem Items   | always |      | sometime |      | never |      | M.S  | SD   |
|------------------------|---|--------|------|----------|------|-------|------|------|------|
| Domains                |   | F      | %    | F        | %    | F     | %    |      | 35   |
| Sg                     | 1. Tumors like other disease can be curable                           | 29     | 48.3 | 23       | 38.3 | 8     | 13.3 | 2.35 | 0.71 |
| Positive feelings      | 2. I feel that life still beautiful                                   | 3      | 5.0  | 49       | 81.7 | 8     | 13.3 | 1.92 | 0.42 |
| tive f                 | 3. I feel that I still useful for my family and society               | 43     | 71.7 | 10       | 16.7 | 7     | 11.7 | 2.60 | 0.69 |
| Posi                   | 4. Illness made me feels about suffering of other patients            | 12     | 20.0 | 45       | 75.0 | 3     | 5.0  | 2.15 | 0.48 |
|                        | 5. I lost my role and my importance in the family                     | 34     | 56.7 | 10       | 16.7 | 16    | 26.7 | 2.30 | 0.87 |
| Negative<br>feelings   | 6. I became hate myself because of the illness                        | 23     | 38.3 | 27       | 45.0 | 10    | 16.7 | 2.22 | 0.72 |
| Nega                   | 7. I feel tense and worry   | 10     | 16.7 | 36       | 60.0 | 14    | 23.3 | 1.93 | 0.63 |
|                        | 8. I feel that I need for crying                                      | 14     | 23.3 | 34       | 56.7 | 12    | 20.0 | 2.03 | 0.66 |
| ۶                      | 9. I feel that I still capable and competent for all works            | 13     | 21.7 | 28       | 46.7 | 19    | 31.7 | 1.90 | 0.73 |
| steer                  | 10. I still capable to doing daily works                              | 23     | 38.3 | 30       | 50.0 | 7     | 11.7 | 2.27 | 0.66 |
| Self-Esteem            | 11. What I introduce to my family and my children's convincing for me | 38     | 63.3 | 16       | 26.7 | 6     | 10.0 | 2.53 | 0.68 |
| 12. My role is         | 12. My role is active in the society                                  | 39     | 65.0 | 12       | 20.0 | 9     | 15.0 | 2.50 | 0.75 |
|                        | 13. I thinks a lot about what happed to me                            | 12     | 20.0 | 28       | 46.7 | 20    | 33.3 | 1.87 | 0.72 |
| hinkin                 | 14. I thinks a lot about (my future) my illness prognosis             | 22     | 36.7 | 25       | 41.7 | 13    | 21.7 | 2.15 | 0.76 |
|                        | 15. I thinks a lot about the future of my children's and my family    | 37     | 61.7 | 17       | 28.3 | 6     | 10.0 | 2.52 | 0.68 |
|                        | 16. I thinks a lot about the costs of my illness                      | 26     | 43.3 | 16       | 26.7 | 18    | 30.0 | 2.13 | 0.85 |
| Memory &<br>ncentratio | 17. I suffers from forgetting   | 45     | 75.0 | 4        | 6.7  | 11    | 18.3 | 2.57 | 0.79 |
|                        | 18. I can follow up others conversation obviously                     | 56     | 93.3 | 2        | 3.3  | 2     | 3.3  | 2.90 | 0.40 |
|                        | 19. I remember previous events happened to me                         | 58     | 96.7 | 2        | 3.3  | 0     | 0.0  | 2.97 | 0.18 |
| e                      | 20. I hurt for being in this image                                    | 19     | 31.7 | 24       | 40.0 | 17    | 28.3 | 2.03 | 0.78 |
| Imag                   | 21. I don't desire anyone to see my body                              | 29     | 48.3 | 11       | 18.3 | 20    | 33.3 | 2.15 | 0.90 |
| Body Image             | 22. My body image is not satisfy for others                           | 25     | 41.7 | 21       | 35.0 | 14    | 23.3 | 2.18 | 0.79 |
| 8                      | 23. I feel that my body image hurt others                             | 22     | 36.7 | 29       | 48.3 | 9     | 15.0 | 2.22 | 0.69 |

F.= frequency; %= percent; M=mean of score; SD= standard deviation

Table (2) indicated that the mean of score on items (2, 7, 9, 13) were under cut-off point 2.

|                            |      | 95.0% C.I. | _     |      |
|----------------------------|------|------------|-------|------|
| Demographic Variables*     | OR   | Lower      | Upper | Р    |
| Gender                     | 0.49 | 0.10       | 2.25  | 0.36 |
| Age                        | 1.52 | 0.40       | 5.76  | 0.53 |
| Marital Status             | 0.18 | 0.01       | 2.03  | 0.16 |
| Education                  | 0.80 | 0.13       | 4.77  | 0.81 |
| Occupation                 | 0.79 | 0.14       | 4.45  | 0.79 |
| Occupation after treatment | 1.64 | 0.40       | 6.70  | 0.48 |
| Region                     | 0.25 | 0.06       | 1.03  | 0.05 |
| Income/month               | 1.44 | 0.29       | 7.17  | 0.65 |

**Table 3.** Logistic regression using 8 socio-demographic characteristics to estimate the determinants of Psychological problems

OR= Odds Ratio; CI= Confidence Interval.; p.= P. value; Significant at p≤0.05,

Table (3) Had shown that only Region [OR= 0.25 (95% CI: 0.06, 1.03)], were statistically significant determinants psychological QOL sub domain.

## Discussion:

The finding of the present study indicates that the majority of sample consisted mostly of men (55%) (Table 1). This finding comes along with study done in Iraq by Al-Attar in 2005 that found that (74%) of study sample were men  $^{(13)}$ .

Regarding to patients age, most of them (50%) were between 40-60 years age. That result agrees with study done by National Cancer Institute that found that incidence increases with age, and more than 90 percent of people with colorectal cancer are diagnosed after age 50<sup>(12)</sup>. This study revealed that (85%) of the sample were married, this finding comes along with Al-Attar, 2005 that found that (92%) of study sample were married <sup>(13)</sup>.

Most of the participants (63.3%) had obtained a low educational level (illiterate or finished primary school). This high percentage of low educational level by participants was in contrast to Iraq's current literacy level of 78.2% <sup>(14)</sup>. The lower educational level in this study sample could be none specific and can't be generalized to the population. This result is similar to that reported by Nicolussi and Sawada (2008) concerning the educational level were 59.1% had only concluded basic education, about 22.7% had finished high school, and 18.2% had graduated beyond high school education <sup>(15)</sup>. The study indicates that four items of psychological domain of QOL were under cut-off point 2, regarding to positive feelings item, refers that patients have problems with (feeling that life still beautiful, Negative feelings item (I feel tense and worry), Self-Esteem item (I feel that I still capable and competent for all works), Thinking item (I thinks a lot about what happed to me) (Table 2).

These findings are in agree with study done by Ramsey et al. (2002) that found long term of colorectal cancer survivors reported higher overall QOL, but had higher rates of depression <sup>(16)</sup>. Zabora et al. (2001) reported that between 35 percent and 45 percent of all cancer patients experience significant emotional distress (including depression) <sup>(17)</sup>.

Logistic regression were tested the eight socio-demographic variables (gender, age, marital state, education level, occupation, occupation after disease, region, monthly income) evaluated the presence of statistically significant determinants and psychological QOL domain. Table 3 shows that only region (urban versus rural), was a statistically significant psychological problems. determinants Suggesting that patients living in rural areas were 75 times less likely to have low psychological QOL [OR = 0.25 (95% CI: 0.06, 1.03)].

2013

That result is similar to study done by Momeni and Ghanbari (2011) they found that mean scores for specific quality of life were higher in urban patients than those who resided in rural areas (p<0.05)<sup>(18)</sup>.

In this study the age was not statistically significant while in other study by Arndt et al. (2004) found that younger patients with colorectal cancer expressed psychosocial deficits. It has been hypothesized that younger cancer patients are a vulnerable group in terms of lower survival and more severe psychosocial effects <sup>(6)</sup>.

# **Recommendations:**

The study recommends to preparation of an education program for patients with colorectal cancer and to raise awareness and education among families of patients to provide a better psychosocial life for their patients. Also farther study is necessary in order to demonstrated more clearly the differences of QOL for patient with colorectal cancer who had psychosocial problems.

# **References:**

- Cunningham D, Atkin W, Lenz HJ, Lynch HT, Minsky B, Nordlinger B, Starling N. Colorectal cancer. Lancet 2010; 375: 1030– 47.
- Labianca R, Beretta GD, Kildani B, Milesi L, Merlin F, Mosconi S, Pessi MA, Prochilo T, Quadri A, Gatta G, de Braud F, Wils J. Colon cancer. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2010; 74(2):106-33.
- Jemal Ahmedin, Bray Freddie, Center Melissa M., Global Cancer Statistics, CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians Vol. 61, Issue 2, 2011, Pp. 74.
- 4. **Iraqi Cancer Registry**, Ministry of Health/Iraq with WHO, Incidence and mortality of cancer, 2006.
- Ismail Adel M., Al-Ramadhani Ayad H., Mustafa Israa J., Cancer in Mosul 2009, Incidence and mortality results of Mosul cancer Registry, Directorate of Health in Ninawa, 2010.
- Arndt Volker, Merx Henrike, Stegmaier Christa, Ziegler Hartwig, and Brenner Hermann. Quality of Life in Patients With Colorectal Cancer 1 Year After Diagnosis Compared With the General Population: A Population Based Study, Journal of Clinical Oncology, Vol. 22, and PP. 4829-4836. 2004.

- Conroy T, Bleiberg H, Glimelius B: Quality of life in patients with advanced colorectal cancer. What has been learnt? Eur J Cancer 39:287-294, 2003
- Cotrim H, Pereira G. Impact of colorectal cancer on patient and family: implications for care. Eur J Oncol Nurs. 2008 Jul; 12(3):217-26.
- 9. Lisa Guinan, RGN, H Dip Oncology, **Colorectal Cancer, What Are the Patient Issues?**, Cancer Information Nurse, Irish Cancer Society, 2006.
- Cassidy J, Twelves C, Van Cutsem E, Hoff P, First-line oral capecitabine therapy in metastatic colorectal cancer: a favorable safety profile compared with intravenous 5-fluorouracil/leucovorin. Annual Oncology 2002; 13: 566 – 575
- Smeltzer, S.C.; Hinkle, J.L.; Bare, B.G and Cheever, K.H.: Brunner and Suddarth's. Textbook of Medical-Surgical Nursing. Lippincott: Williams and Wilkins, 12<sup>th</sup> ed. 2010. Pp. 1098-1107.
- WHO, WHOQOL-BREF Introduction, Administration, Scoring and Generic Version of the Assessment, December 1996, Programme on Men- tal Health, World Health Organization, Geneva.
- 13. Al-Attar Wafaa M., Quality of Life Assessment for Patients with Colorectal Cancer, Sci. J. Nursing/ Baghdad, Vol. 18, No.2, 2005. 36.
- UNESCO United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, Institute for Statistics, Global Education Digest, 2011, Comparing Education Statistics across the World.
- Nicolussi AC. and Sawada NO., Quality of life of patients with colorectal cancer who were receiving complementary therapy. Acta paul. enferm. 2009, Vol.22, No.2, pp. 155-161.
- Ramsey SD, Berry K, Moinpour C, Giedzinska A, Andersen MR., Quality of life in long term survivors of colorectal cancer. American Journal of Gastroenterology. May 2002; 97(5):1228-1234.
- Zabora J, BrintzenhofeSzoc K, Curbow B, Hooker C, Piantadosi S. The Prevalence of Psychological Distress by Cancer Site.

Journal of Psycho Oncology 2001, Vol. 10(1) 19-28.

18. Momeni M., Ghanbari A., Comparison of Specific Quality of Life Between

Urban and Rural Colorectal Cancer

**Patients**, Govaresh Journal, 2011; Vol. 15, No. 4.